

Integrating ESG Metrics into Strategic Decision-Making: Challenges and Opportunities

Ash Yıldız
Koc University

Abstract—The integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) metrics into strategic decision-making has emerged as a defining feature of contemporary corporate governance and sustainable value creation. As stakeholders increasingly demand transparency, accountability, and long-term responsibility, firms face mounting pressure to embed ESG considerations into core business strategies rather than treating them as peripheral reporting obligations. This paper examines the integration of ESG metrics into strategic decision-making, analyzing the managerial, operational, and institutional challenges associated with measurement standardization, data reliability, and performance alignment. By synthesizing literature across strategic management, corporate finance, and sustainability reporting, the study evaluates the opportunities presented by ESG integration, including enhanced risk management, improved stakeholder trust, and long-term competitive advantage. The findings suggest that successful ESG integration requires robust governance frameworks, data-driven performance systems, and cultural alignment between sustainability objectives and strategic priorities.

■ The global business landscape is undergoing a structural transformation driven by heightened environmental awareness, social accountability, and governance transparency. Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations have evolved from voluntary corporate social responsibility initiatives into strategic imperatives that influence investment decisions, regulatory frameworks, and organizational legitimacy [4]. Firms are increasingly evaluated not only on financial performance but also on their environmental footprint, labor practices, diversity

policies, and governance standards. This expanded performance lens has compelled organizations to reconsider how strategic decisions are formulated and evaluated [12].

Traditionally, strategic decision-making within firms has prioritized financial indicators such as revenue growth, profitability, and shareholder return. While these metrics remain critical, they often fail to capture long-term risks associated with climate change, social inequality, or governance failures [9]. ESG metrics aim to address this gap by incorporating non-financial indicators into strategic evaluation processes. When integrated effectively,

Digital Object Identifier 10.62802/d7p4w618

Date of publication 25 02 2026; date of current version 25 02 2026

ESG considerations can inform capital allocation, supply chain design, risk management frameworks, and innovation strategies. However, translating ESG data into actionable strategic insights remains a complex managerial challenge [6].

One of the principal obstacles in integrating ESG metrics is the lack of standardized measurement frameworks. Diverse reporting standards, varying disclosure requirements, and inconsistent data methodologies create ambiguity in performance assessment [3]. Managers must navigate competing ESG rating systems and reconcile internal performance indicators with external stakeholder expectations. This fragmentation complicates strategic alignment and may lead to selective reporting or symbolic compliance rather than substantive integration [11].

Data quality and reliability further complicate ESG integration. Unlike financial data, which is subject to well-established accounting standards and audit procedures, ESG data often relies on estimations, self-reporting, and qualitative assessments [8]. Ensuring data accuracy, comparability, and transparency is essential for embedding ESG considerations into strategic decision-making processes. Advanced data analytics, digital reporting platforms, and integrated information systems are increasingly utilized to address these limitations, yet their implementation requires significant organizational investment and cross-functional coordination [1].

Despite these challenges, integrating ESG metrics into strategy presents substantial opportunities. From a risk management perspective, ESG integration enables firms to anticipate regulatory shifts, supply chain disruptions, and reputational vulnerabilities [10]. Environmental performance indicators, for example, can guide investments in renewable energy or circular production models, reducing long-term operational risks. Social metrics can strengthen workforce engagement and customer loyalty, while governance transparency enhances investor confidence and reduces agency conflicts [7].

Moreover, ESG integration can foster innovation and competitive differentiation. Firms that align sustainability objectives with core strategy are better positioned to develop sustainable products, enter emerging markets, and attract impact-oriented

investors (Velez-Valencia et al., 2025). In this sense, ESG metrics function not merely as compliance tools but as strategic assets that inform long-term value creation. However, realizing this potential requires leadership commitment, cultural transformation, and a redefinition of performance metrics beyond short-term financial returns [5].

The integration of ESG metrics into strategic decision-making also reshapes the role of corporate leadership. Executives must balance fiduciary responsibilities with stakeholder expectations, ensuring that sustainability objectives align with profitability and growth [2]. This balancing act demands enhanced governance structures, cross-functional collaboration, and performance evaluation systems that integrate financial and non-financial indicators into a coherent strategic framework.

This paper examines the challenges and opportunities associated with integrating ESG metrics into strategic decision-making. By analyzing measurement complexities, governance implications, and performance outcomes, the study highlights how ESG integration can evolve from a reporting exercise to a foundational component of corporate strategy. Ultimately, embedding ESG considerations into strategic decision-making represents a shift toward a more holistic and resilient model of value creation in an era defined by environmental urgency and social accountability.

■ REFERENCES

1. Akula, D. K., Mohammed, Y. S., Syed, A., Haque, G. M. M., & Arafat, Y. (2025). The Role of Information Systems in Enhancing Strategic Decision Making: A Review and Future Directions. *Emerging Frontiers Library for The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations*, 7(8), 80-105.
2. Ateeq, A. (2025). Ethics and Stakeholder Engagement: Balancing Profitability with Social Responsibility. In *The Digital Edge: Transforming Business Systems for Strategic Success: Volume 1* (pp. 985-995). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
3. Al Amosh, H. (2025). Exploring the influence of accounting reporting complexity on ESG disclosure. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 32(5), 5760-5778.
4. Alhousari, H. (2025). Integrating ESG criteria in corporate strategies: determinants and implications for

- performance. *Journal of Ecohumanism*, 3(8), 2968-2979.
5. Carreno, A. M. (2025). Rethinking business transformation metrics: A framework for continuous transformation measurement. Available at SSRN 5126187.
 6. Dinh, M. T. H. (2025). ESG Perspectives to Investing: Translating ESG into Investment and Scores, and Challenges of Translations. *European Business Law Review*, 36(1).
 7. Jaiswal, R., Gupta, S., & Tiwari, A. K. (2025). Environmental, social and governance-type investing: a multi-stakeholder machine learning analysis. *Management Decision*.
 8. Kummari, D. N. (2025). Advanced practices in auditing, regulatory compliance, and smart manufacturing systems. Deep Science Publishing.
 9. Ojanen, A. (2025). Comparative analysis of long-term governance problems: Risks of climate change and artificial intelligence. *Futures & Foresight Science*, 7(1), e203.
 10. Rana, T., Rahman, M. J., & Öhman, P. (2025). Integrating ESG accounting with performance measurement, risk management, and climate change. In *Environmental, social and governance accounting and auditing* (pp. 357-378). Routledge.
 11. Rauschenberger, F., Gerwanski, J., & Lueg, R. (2025). How to measure Integrated Reporting Adoption, compliance, and Quality: A Systematic Review of taxonomies, constructs, and measures toward a research agenda. *Management Review Quarterly*, 1-57.
 12. Tavares, M. C., & Vaz, M. (2025). Rethinking Performance Evaluation: Strategic Alignment in the Service Sector Through a Case-Based Framework. *Administrative Sciences*, 15(10), 390.
 13. Velez-Valencia, C., Herrera-Cano, C., Gonzalez-Perez, M. A., Alvarez, P., & Vergara Garavito, J. (2025). Aligning investment with impact: Exploring social impact bonds in emerging markets for sustainable development. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 32(3), 2950-2963.